# CSCI 5582 Artificial Intelligence 

Lecture 28
Jim Martin

## HW 3

- On the first set the average accuracy was $87 \%$ with 11 submissions at $100 \%$.
- On the second set the average accuracy was $76 \%$ with 2 submissions getting 100\%
- One of those was a rule-based approach
- With basically 1 simple rule and a variant on it.


## Final Details

- Monday, 1:30PM, here. It will be $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hours.
- Come on time, spread out, bring a calculator, don't bring the rest of all your worldly belongings, probably ought to use a pencil and eraser.


## Today 12/14

- Final Review


## Final Topics

- Search
- Representation
- Uncertainty
- Machine Learning
- Language Processing


## Meta Topics

- There are connections among all the topics. Search, representation, probability and learning are all intertwined.
- I may ask questions that make you make connections


## Final

- Each section will have a similar structure to the quizzes. Easy factual stuff, followed by a couple of problems to work out that demonstrate understanding.


## Final

- Material that I asked you to prepare for but was not covered on a quiz is fair game.


## Final

- General Hints:
- I will never ask a question that requires you to transcribe an algorithm. If you find yourself doing that you should stop and re-read the question.
- You do however need to know (understand, grok, grasp) the algorithms to answer questions about them.


## Final Hints: Example

- What kind of search is the DT learning algorithm?
- Is it optimal? Why?
- Is Neural Net learning a search?
- How does the choice of $k$ in $k$-dl lists effect the likelihood of the DL learning algorithm finding a reasonable list.


## Final Hints

- Some of you should really consider pencil (and an eraser).
- You should bring a calculator if it makes you feel better
- Arithmetic errors that arise in computing the right thing won't hurt you (much)
- Exact answers to the wrong thing will


## Search

- State-space search
- Optimization/iterative improvement
- Constraint-based search


## State-Space Search

- Basic algorithms
- $A^{*}$
- IDA*
- How they relate to each other


## Optimization

- Annealing, hill-climbing, random restart hill-climbing.
- The nature of the states, the problems you run into and how annealing or random-restart address the problems.


## Constraint-Based Searches

- What's a constraint? What's a problem?
- Backtracking methods
- Min-conflict/satisfiability methods
- What's the connection between satisfiability and propositional logic?


## Representation and Reasoning

- Propositional logic and reasoning with it.
- First order logic and reasoning with it.


## Propositional Logic

- Syntax and Semantics
- Proving stuff
- Wumpus world


## First Order Logic

- Focus here will be on representing stuff of interest rather than on proving things.
- Although that doesn't mean I won't give a simple backward or forward chaining example


## Representation and Reasoning Hints

- If I say use Propositional Logic, use Propositional Logic.
- If I ask what does the agent know at some point in time, show me the strongest thing you can say.
- If I give a problem to solve using logic, then I want you to show how a machine could solve it mechanically. Not that you as a human can figure it out.


## Hints

- That technique you can't remember the name of is called Resolution.
- You can't just randomly re-order ands and ors until you get something you like.


## Example

- You know

1. $A$
2. $A \rightarrow B^{\wedge} C$
3. $C \rightarrow D$

Prove D

- MP with 1\&2 produces (4) $B^{\wedge} C$
- AE on 4 produces
(5) B and (6) C
- MP with 3\&6 produces D. Done.


## Wumpus World

- Or something like it.
- Rules are either given or you know them
- B11 -> Pit1,2 or Pit2,1 etc
- Agent moves from here to there, and detects this and that, what do you know.


## Uncertainty

- Basic probability material
- Bayesian reasoning
- Bayesian belief nets
- Hidden Markov models
- Naïve Bayes classification
- How they all connect


## Basic Material

- Basic syntax, semantics and definitions.
- Memorize the definition of a conditional probability
$-P(A \mid B)=P\left(A^{\wedge} B\right) / P(B)$


## Basic Material

- Argmax $P(X \mid Y)$ where choosing $X$ means choosing the right $X$ from some set of choices (diseases, classes, tags, words, whatever)
- Using Bayes when the data for $P(X \mid Y)$ can't be gotten.


## Basic Material

- For Bayesian diagnosis questions, there's a query about some state of affairs and there's evidence...
$P($ State $\mid E)=P(E \mid$ State $) P($ State $) / P(E)$


## Bayesian Belief Nets

- Syntax and semantics
- It's a way of encoding the joint probability distribution of the variables in the network.
- The entries are based on the shape of the network.
- The network can only directly answer questions concerning the conjunctive status of all the variables in the network


## BBN Examples

1. Think about what the question is asking: is there evidence or not?
2. Formulate the question as a probability to be assessed.
3. Ask yourself if this is the kind of probability that the belief net can answer directly or is it something that requires multiple queries?

## BBN Examples

- For example, I give you some evidence $e$, and ask you about a variable $q$, given some network.
- That's P(q|e) with the network in the background
- The belief net can't answer that directly
- But you can re-write it as a ratio - $P\left(q^{\wedge} e\right) / P(e)$


## BBN Examples

- But it probably can't answer that either.
- It can answer questions about conjunctive states of ALL the variables.
- $P\left(q^{\wedge} e^{\wedge}\right.$ configurations of the remaining vars)
- Same for P(e)
- You sum the non-overlapping configurations.


## Belief Revision

- There is often a question that goes like this:
- Here's a fact. What should you believe about variable $X$ now.
- Here's another fact. Now what do you believe about $X$
- These questions are cumulative. You know the first fact, and then the first fact AND the second fact.


## Hint

- We talked about basics of probability, diagnosis (stiff necks), naïve Bayes, Markov assumptions, and then belief nets
- They're all related... belief nets capture conditional independence assumptions; naïve Bayes and Markov models are based on independence assumptions.


## Machine Learning

- Mainly on supervised machine learning
- Organization of training
- Kinds of learning and things learned
- Trees, lists, etc
- Meta-issues: where does the hypothesis space come from, what effect does the size of the space have on learning?
- Boosting


## Decision Trees

- Definitions of trees
- How they work
- How they're learned


## Choosing an Attribute

- Approximation to the Information Gain metric.
- Figure out your original error rate
- Apply a feature which branches $N$ ways
- Divide the training data along the branches
- Count the labels at each leaf and pick the majority label
- How many do you get right?

Note

- This technique indirectly gets at the notion of trying to find small trees with uniform leaves.


## Note

- The entire training set is available only at the top of the tree.
- Once a feature has been placed into the tree, the training data splits according to the values of the feature. Ie. Choosing tests deeper in the tree involves a subset of the original set.


## Decision Lists

- Search for sequences of tests that cover subsets of the training data.
- An instance that passes a test is assigned a label
- An instance that doesn't pass a test is passed to the next test.


## Decision Lists

- Its useful to talk about
- Accuracy of a test (how well does it predict the right answer for the instances it covers)
- Coverage of a test (how many instances does it apply to?)
- The book's algorithm is looking for tests of length $k$ with $100 \%$ accuracy
- All things being equal we like tests with higher coverage (why?)


## Why?

- Occam's razor
- Prefer simple hypotheses to complex ones
- Choosing tests with large coverage reduces the examples passed on to the rest of the algorithm
- Leading it to terminate sooner
- Leading to smaller lists
» Making Occam happy


## Decision Lists

- The boxes and arrows seemed to confuse folks. Its really just an ordered list of tests
- Test -> label
- Test -> label
- Test -> label
- ...
- Emit the label attached to the first test that succeeds and then stop


## Hints

- For DLs two-label (binary) tasks lend themselves to techniques that don't really generalize
- I.e. If I start with 5 yesses and 5 nos, and I can knock out 4 yesses with the first test
- Then I might choose to worry about catching that last yes, rather than covering a larger number of the nos


## Ensembles

- Know the basic idea of how ensembles work.
- Some way of producing independent classifiers
- A voting scheme


## Other Classifiers

- SVMs, Neural Nets
- Just need a superficial familiarity with the basic ideas.


## Language Processing

- Mainly the connections to other topics in the course
- How can language problems be viewed as probability problems?
- Machine learning problems?


## Language Processing

- I won't ask a specific detailed MT question...
- Think of generative probabilistic sequence applications that are language related
- Speech (audio to text)
- MT (German to English)
- OCR (pixels to texts)
- IE (texts to database entries)


## Generative Statistical Models

- Underlying (hidden) states in a statistical machine...
- Hidden states emit outputs (observables)
- Want to infer the hidden processing from the observables
- In other words the observables are what you have, the hidden states are what you want.

