

# Dealing with Bugs

Kenneth M. Anderson

University of Colorado, Boulder

CSCI 5828 — Lecture 27 — 04/21/2009

© University of Colorado, 2009

# Goals

2

- ▶ Review material from Chapter 11 of Pilone & Miles
  - ▶ Dealing with Bugs
    - ▶ Talking with your Customer
    - ▶ Scouting out the bug
      - ▶ What exactly is not working?
    - ▶ Making an estimate
      - ▶ Spike Testing
    - ▶ Fix the Bugs

# The Lay of the Land

3

- ▶ In the Chapter example, the situation for our team did NOT look good
  - ▶ Working to demo integration of Mercury Meal's code
    - ▶ Demo is not working, the system hang's when calling MM code
    - ▶ The MM code is a mess!
    - ▶ The team has three user stories that depends on this new code
  - ▶ To make matters worse, the CEO and CFO of Orion's Orbits are expecting to see the demo ASAP

# Fall Back to Process

4

- ▶ Our process relies on communication, so the first thing we do is talk to the CEO
  - ▶ He agrees to push back the demo but wants to know how far?
    - ▶ He wants an estimate on how long it will take to fix Mercury Meal's code
  - ▶ We need to be confident in the estimate we give him
    - ▶ but we are in a situation where planning poker will not work
      - ▶ Why not?

# Next Steps?

5

- ▶ There are plenty of things we could be doing
  - ▶ Get a coverage report on the MM code... how much of this code has been tested?
  - ▶ Get a line count on the MM code and use this to make an estimate.
  - ▶ Do a security audit on the MM code
  - ▶ Use a UML tool to reverse-engineer the code and produce a class diagram
  - ▶ etc.

# No

6

- ▶ We're not yet in a situation to do these things; indeed they provide little benefit right now
  - ▶ We need an estimate of how long we think it will take to track down all the bugs in the MM code that affect our user stories
    - ▶ Coverage report? We don't have tests for this system!
    - ▶ Security Audit? Won't help us with an estimate...
    - ▶ UML tool? Could be helpful but it could provide a lot of unnecessary detail and get us off track
    - ▶ Line count? We don't yet know how much of this code we need and whether its missing code that we do need

# So, what should we do?

7

- ▶ Fall back on our process and get this code ready to give us the information we need
  - ▶ Create an issue for the MM code in your bug tracker
  - ▶ Organize the source code into standard directories
  - ▶ Write a build script
  - ▶ Place the code and build script under version control
  - ▶ Integrate the code into your continuous build system
  - ▶ Write tests simulating how you need to use the software
    - ▶ File bugs as you find them!

# Next? Fix code?

8

- ▶ We could immediately start working on the code
  - ▶ Here's an example of what MM gave us



```

package com.mercurymeals;

//Follows the Singleton design pattern
public class MercuryMeals
{
    public MercuryMeals meallythang;
    private Order c0;
    private String qk = "select * from order-table where keywords like %1;";
    private static MercuryMeals instance;

    public MercuryMeals() {
    }
    public static MercuryMeals getInstance()
    {
        instance = new MercuryMeals();
        return instance;
    }
    // TODO Really should document this at some point... TBD
    public Order createOrder() {
        return new Order();}
    public MealOption getMealOption(String option)
    throws MercuryMealsConnectionException {
        if (MM.establish().isAnyOptionsForKey(option))
        { return MM.establish().getMealOption(option)[0]; };
        return null;
    }

    public boolean submitOrder(Order c0)
    {
        try {
            MM mm = MM.establish();
            mm.su(this.c0); }
        catch (Exception e)
        { // write out an error message
            } return false; }

    public Order[] getOrdersThatMatchKeyword(String qk)
        throws MercuryMealsConnectionException {

        Order[] o;
        try {
            o = MM.establish().find(qk, qk);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            return null;
        }
        return o;
    }
}}

```

Yikes! What a Mess!

# Lizard Brain Response?

10

- ▶ Let's clean this up!
  - ▶ As shown in next slide

## Zapped Tabs

Cleaned up instance variables

Removed constructor

Reformatted code to a consistent style

Note: did NOT fix obvious problems  
At this point, we're cleaning up the code so problems CAN'T hide!

```
package com.mercurymeals;

public class MercuryMeals {

    private static MercuryMeals instance;

    public MercuryMeals meallythang;
    private Order cO;
    private String qk = "select * from order-table where keywords like %1;";

    public static MercuryMeals getInstance() {
        instance = new MercuryMeals();
        return instance;
    }

    public Order createOrder() {
        return new Order();
    }

    public MealOption getMealOption(String option) throws MercuryMealsConnectionException {
        if (MM.establish().isAnyOptionsForKey(option)) {
            return MM.establish().getMealOption(option)[0];
        }
        return null;
    }

    public boolean submitOrder(Order cO) {
        try {
            MM mm = MM.establish();
            mm.su(this.cO);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            // write out an error message
        }
        return false;
    }

    public Order[] getOrdersThatMatchKeyword(String qk) throws MercuryMealsConnectionException {
        Order[] o;
        try {
            o = MM.establish().find(qk, qk);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            return null;
        }
        return o;
    }
}
```

# Much Better

12

- ▶ This code still sucks BUT
  - ▶ certain problems are now obvious
    - ▶ horrible comments (so bad I just deleted them)
    - ▶ horrible variable names and lots of potential shadowing
    - ▶ Use of a package in same name space that appears as if by “magic” in the code (“MM”)
      - ▶ Confusingly they define a variable named “mm” that acts as a pointer to this package in one method but use the package name everywhere else

# BUT DON'T FIX ANYTHING YET!

13

- ▶ Fixing all the problems you see would represent a waste of time at this moment
  - ▶ Remember, our focus right now is on getting an estimate
- ▶ We don't want to fix code, we want to fix functionality
  - ▶ We have three user stories that are not working because of the MM code
    - ▶ Fixing these user stories is our ultimate goal
      - ▶ Remember: Simplicity in all things; we'll fix what we need to make progress on our current tasks; the future will take care of itself

# Emphasis

14

- ▶ Everything revolves around end-user functionality
- ▶ We write and fix code to satisfy user stories
  - ▶ We only fix what is broken
    - ▶ We know what's broken because we have tests that fail
- ▶ Tests are the ultimate safety net
  - ▶ They let us know when something is broken and when its fixed again
  - ▶ If there is no test for a user story, that user story is broken
- ▶ Functional code trumps beautiful code!

# Next Step: Write a Test

15

- ▶ Now we write tests related to our three user stories to see what's broken
  - ▶ See next slide

```
package com.orionsorbits.solutions;
```

```
import com.mercurymeals.*;
```

```
import com.orionsorbits.OrderNotAcceptedException;
```

```
public class OrionsOrbitsSolution {
```

```
    public static void main(String[] args) throws Exception  
    {
```

```
        OrionsOrbitsSolution oo = new OrionsOrbitsSolution();
```

```
        System.out.println("Adding order...");
```

```
        oo.orderMeal(new String[]{"Fish and Chips"}, "VS01");
```

```
    }
```

```
    public void orderMeal(String[] options, String flightNo) throws Exception {
```

```
        MercuryMeals mercuryMeals = MercuryMeals.getInstance();
```

```
        Order order = mercuryMeals.createOrder();
```

```
        for (int x = 0; x < options.length; x++) {
```

```
            MealOption mealOption = mercuryMeals.getMealOption(options[x]);
```

```
            if (mealOption != null) {
```

```
                order.addMealOption(mealOption);
```

```
            } else {
```

```
                throw new MealOptionNotFoundException(mealOption);
```

```
            }
```

```
        }
```

```
        order.addKeyword(flightNo);
```

```
        if (!mercuryMeals.submitOrder(order)) {
```

```
            throw new OrderNotAcceptedException(order);
```

```
        }
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

We want this code to work to be able to say that the three user stories are fixed.

Its written as a test case in the book.



# What Next? Spike Test

17

- ▶ Now that you've written tests and know what's failing, it's time to conduct a spike test to create the estimate that we need to provide to the CEO
  - ▶ A spike test is a week outside the normal iteration plan in which the focus is on fixing the failing test cases
  - ▶ Pick a random sampling of the test cases
    - ▶ But try to avoid the easiest and the hardest test cases
  - ▶ After five days, calculate your bug fix rate
    - ▶  $\text{Bugs Fixed} / \text{Number of Days} = \text{Daily bug fix rate}$
  - ▶ Now calculate your estimate
    - ▶  $\text{Bug Fix Rate} \times (\text{Failing Test Cases}) = \text{Estimate}$

# Example

18

- ▶ In the example, the team had 13 failing test cases
  - ▶ During a 5 day spike test they fixed 4 of the bugs
- ▶ Bug fix rate:  $4 / 5 = 0.8$  bugs per day
- ▶ They now have 9 failing test cases
- ▶ Estimate:  $0.8 \text{ bugs per day} \times 9 \text{ bugs} = 7 \text{ days}$

# Accuracy?

19

- ▶ Now, temper the estimate with some qualitative data
  - ▶ You have an estimate but your team might feel that its not quite right
    - ▶ One of the developers might feel like they “grok” the MM code now and so feels like the remaining bugs will fall much more quickly
  - ▶ Take a survey of the developer’s confidence and factor that into your final estimate
    - ▶  $(\text{bug fix rate} \times \text{bugs remaining}) \times 1/\text{average confidence}$

# Example

20

- ▶ Three developers are surveyed about their confidence that the remaining bugs will take 7 days to fix
  - ▶ One developer says they are 80% confident
  - ▶ The other two say they are 60% and 70% confident
- ▶ Take the average for the team's confidence: 70%
- ▶ Revise estimate
  - ▶  $(0.8 \times 9) \times 1/.7 = 10.28$  days

# Take this to Customer

21

- ▶ Give the new estimate to the customer
  - ▶ And work with them to update the iteration plan
    - ▶ Some stories may need to be bumped to the next iteration
- ▶ Then... GET TO WORK!
  - ▶ At the end of the process, you will have fixed all the bugs needed to fix the three user stories and allow the demo to proceed
  - ▶ The problem: there will still be bugs hiding in the MM code
    - ▶ Deal with them if and when they affect future user stories

# Wrapping Up

22

- ▶ Addressing bugs requires a process
  - ▶ When fixing bugs in code that you didn't write yourself
    - ▶ get the code under control before fixing it
      - ▶ build scripts, version control, reorganization, but NO FIXING
    - ▶ write tests
    - ▶ perform a spike test
    - ▶ provide estimate to customer and update plan
    - ▶ get to work and keep track of all issues in bug tracker
- ▶ Don't fix bugs just to fix them; let user stories guide you

# Coming Up

23

- ▶ Lecture 28: Software Abstractions
  - ▶ Overview of the Software Abstractions textbook
- ▶ Lecture 29: SE Wrap-Up
  - ▶ Chapter 12 of Head First Software Development
  - ▶ Review of Class
- ▶ Lecture 30: Project Demos