Lecture 24: Agile Development and Extreme Programming

Kenneth M. Anderson Software Methods and Tools CSCI 3308 - Fall Semester, 2004

Credit where Credit is Due

- The material for this lecture is based on content from "Agile Software Development: Principles, Patterns, and Practices" by Robert C. Martin
- As such, some of this material is copyright © Prentice Hall, 2003

November 12, 2004

© University of Colorado, 2004

2

Goals for this lecture

- (Very) Briefly introduce the concepts of Agile Design and Extreme Programming
- Agile Design is a design framework
- Extreme Programming is one way to "implement" agile design
 - Other agile life cycles include SCRUM, Crystal, feature-driven development, and adaptive software development
 - See <http://www.agilealliance.org/> for pointers

Agile Development (I)

- Agile development is a response to the problems of traditional "heavyweight" software development processes
 - too many artifacts
 - too much documentation
 - inflexible plans
 - Iate, over budget, and buggy software

Agile Development (II)

- A manifesto (from the Agile Alliance)
 - "We are uncovering better ways of developing software by doing it and helping others do it. Through this work we have come to value
 - individuals and interactions over processes and tools
 - working software over comprehensive documentation
 - customer collaboration over contract negotiation
 - responding to change over following a plan
 - That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more

Agile Development (III)

- From this statement of values, agile development has identified twelve principles that distinguish agile practices from traditional software life cycles
- Lets look at five of them
 - Deliver Early and Often to Satisfy Customer
 - Welcome Changing Requirements
 - Face to Face Communication is Best
 - Measure Progress against Working Software
 - Simplicity is Essential

 November 12, 2004
 © University of Colorado, 2004
 5
 November 12, 2004
 © University of Colorado, 2004

Deliver Early and Often to Satisfy Customer

- MIT Sloan Management Review published an analysis of software development practices in 2001
 - Strong correlation between quality of software system and the early delivery of a partially functioning system
 - the less functional the initial delivery the higher the quality of the final delivery!
 - Strong correlation between final quality of software system and frequent deliveries of increasing functionality
 - the more frequent the deliveries, the higher the final quality!
- Customers may choose to put initial/intermediate systems into production use; or they may simply review functionality and provide feedback

Welcome Changing Requirements

- Welcome change, even late in the project!
- Statement of Attitude
 - Developers in agile projects are not afraid of change; changes are good since it means our understanding of the target domain has increased
 - Plus, agile development practices (such as refactoring) produce systems that are flexible and thus easy to change

7

6





User Stories (I)

- We need to have requirements
- XP requirements come in the form of "user stories" or scenarios
 - We need just enough detail to estimate how long it might take to support this story
 - avoid too much detail, since the requirement will most likely change; start at a high level, deliver working functionality and iterate based on explicit feedback

User Stories (II)

- User stories are not documented in detail
 - we work out the scenario with the customer "faceto-face"; we give this scenario a name
 - the name is written on an index card
 - developers then write an estimate on the card based on the detail they got during their conversation with the customer
- The index card becomes a "token" which is then used to drive the implementation of a requirement based on its priority and estimated cost

15

November 12, 2004

Pair Programming

- All production code is written by pairs of programmers working together at the same workstation
 - One member drives the keyboard and writes code and test cases; the second watches the code, looking for errors and possible improvements
 - The roles will switch between the two frequently
 - Pair membership changes once per day; so that each programmer works in two pairs each day
 - this facilitates distribution of knowledge about the state of the code throughout the entire team
- Studies indicate that pair programming does not impact efficiency of the team, yet it significantly reduces the defect rate!
 - [Laurie Williams, 2000] [Alistair Cockburn, 2001] [J. Nosek, 1998]

November 12, 2004	© University of Colorado, 2004	17

Test-Driven Development

- All production code is written in order to make failing test cases pass
 - First, we write a test case that fails since the required functionality has not yet been implemented
 - Then, we write the code that makes that test case pass
 - Iteration between writing tests and writing code is very short; on the order of minutes
- As a result, a very complete set of test cases is written for the system; not developed after the fact

November	12,	2004
----------	-----	------

© University of Colorado, 2004

18

Collective Ownership

- A pair has the right to check out ANY module and improve it
 - Developers are never individually responsible for a particular module or technology
- Contrast this with Fred Brook's conceptual integrity and the need for a small set of "minds" controlling a system's design
 - Apparent contradiction is resolved when you note that XP is designed for use by small programming teams; I haven't seen work that tries to scale XP to situations that require 100s or 1000s of developers

Continuous Integration

- Developers check in code and integrate it into the larger system several times a day
- Simple Rule: first one to check-in "wins"; everyone else merges
- Entire system is built every day; if the final result of a system is a CD, a CD is burned every day; if the final result is a web site, they deploy the web site on a test server, etc.
 - This avoids the problem of cutting integration testing to "save time and money"

November 12, 2004