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DEPENDENCY PARSING

Adapted from slides by Neelamadhav Gantayat and Ryan MacDonald
Dependency Syntax

- Turns sentence into syntactic structure
- Essential for information extraction and other NLP tasks

**Lucien Tesnière, 1959**

The sentence is an organized whole, the constituent elements of which are words. Every word that belongs to a sentence ceases by itself to be isolated as in the dictionary. Between the word and its neighbors, the mind perceives connections, the totality of which forms the structure of the sentence. The structural connections establish dependency relations between the words.
Dependency Grammar

- **Basic Assumption**: Syntactic structure essentially consists of lexical items linked by binary asymmetrical relations called dependencies.

```
Dependency Type

Head

Dependent (modifier / object / compliment)
```
Example of dependency parser output

Figure: Output of Stanford dependency parser
Example of dependency parser output

Verb has an artificial root
• Notion of phrases: “by” and its children
• So how do we choose these edges?

Figure: Output of Stanford dependency parser

root: India won the world cup by beating Lanka
Criteria for dependency

$D$ is likely a dependent of head $H$ in construction $C$:

- $H$ determines syntactic category of $C$ and can often replace $C$
- $H$ gives semantic specification of $C$; $D$ specifies $H$
- $H$ is obligatory; $D$ may be optional
- $H$ selects $D$ and determines whether $D$ is obligatory
- The form of $D$ depends on $H$ (agreement or government)
- The linear position of $D$ is specified with reference to $H$
Which direction?

Some tricky cases . . .

- Complex verb groups
- Subordinate clauses
- Coordination
- Prepositions
- Punctuation
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Some tricky cases . . .

- Complex verb groups
- Subordinate clauses
- Coordination
- Prepositions
- Punctuation

I can see that they rely on this and that.
Dependency Parsing

- **Input**: Sentence $x = w_0, w_1, ..., w_n$
- **Output**: Dependency graph $G = (V, A)$ for $x$ where:
  - $V = 0, 1, ..., n$ is the vertex set,
  - $A$ is the arc set, i.e., $(i, j, k) \in A$ represents a dependency from $w_i$ to $w_j$ with label $l_k \in L$
Projectivity

- Equivalent to planar embedding
- Most theoretical frameworks do not assume projectivity
- Non-projective structures needed for free word order and long-distance dependencies

Non-projective example

The algorithm later we’ll discuss is projective
Which direction?

Some clear cases . . .

- Modifiers: “nmod” and “vmod”
- Verb slots: “subject” and “object”
Not all choices are consistent
Not all choices are consistent
Universal Dependencies Project

http://universaldependencies.org/

Mapping between languages that:

1. satisfactory on linguistic grounds for the analysis of individual languages.
2. good for linguistic typology, i.e., providing a suitable basis for bringing out cross-linguistic parallelism across languages and language families.
3. suitable for rapid, consistent annotation by a human annotator.
4. suitable for training highly accurate parsers.
5. easily comprehensible and used by a non-linguist, whether a language learner or an engineer with prosaic needs for language processing.
6. useful for downstream language understanding tasks (relation extraction, reading comprehension, machine translation, . . . ).