Goals for this lecture

- (Very) Briefly introduce the concepts of Agile Design and Extreme Programming
  - Also briefly discuss some of the other Agile methods
- Agile Design is a design framework
- Extreme Programming is one way to “implement” agile design

Agile Development (I)

- Agile development is a response to the problems of traditional “heavyweight” software development processes
  - too many artifacts
  - too much documentation
  - inflexible plans
  - late, over budget, and buggy software
Agile Development (II)

- A manifesto (from the Agile Alliance)
  - “We are uncovering better ways of developing software by doing it and helping others do it. Through this work we have come to value
    - individuals and interactions over processes and tools
    - working software over comprehensive documentation
    - customer collaboration over contract negotiation
    - responding to change over following a plan
  - That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more

Agile Development (III)

- From this statement of values, agile development has identified twelve principles that distinguish agile practices from traditional software life cycles
- Let's look at five of them
  - Deliver Early and Often to Satisfy Customer
  - Welcome Changing Requirements
  - Face to Face Communication is Best
  - Measure Progress against Working Software
  - Simplicity is Essential

Deliver Early and Often to Satisfy Customer

  - Strong correlation between quality of software system and the early delivery of a partially functioning system
    - the less functional the initial delivery the higher the quality of the final delivery!
  - Strong correlation between final quality of software system and frequent deliveries of increasing functionality
    - the more frequent the deliveries, the higher the final quality!
- Customers may choose to put initial/intermediate systems into production use; or they may simply review functionality and provide feedback

Welcome Changing Requirements

- Welcome change, even late in the project!
- Statement of Attitude
  - Developers in agile projects are not afraid of change; changes are good since it means our understanding of the target domain has increased
  - Plus, agile development practices (such as refactoring) produce systems that are flexible and thus easy to change
Face to Face Communication is Best

- In an agile project, people talk to each other!
  - The primary mode of communication is conversation
  - There is no attempt to capture all project information in writing
  - Artifacts are still created but only if there is an immediate and significant need that they satisfy
  - They may be discarded, after the need has passed

Measure Progress against Working Software

- Agile projects measure progress by the amount of software that is currently meeting customer needs
  - They are 30% done when 30% of required functionality is working AND deployed
  - Progress is not measured in terms of phases or creating documents

Simplicity is Essential

- This refers to the art of maximizing the amount of work NOT done
  - Agile projects always take the simplest path consistent with their current goals
  - They do not try to anticipate tomorrow’s problems; they only solve today’s problems
  - High-quality work today should provide a simple and flexible system that will be easy to change tomorrow if the need arises

Extreme Programming

- Extreme Programming (XP) takes commonsense software engineering principles and practices to extreme levels
  - For instance
    - “Testing is good?”
    - Then
    - “We will test every day” and “We will write test cases before we code”
  - As Kent Beck says extreme programming takes certain practices and “sets them at 11 (on a scale of 1 to 10)”
XP Practices

- The best way to describe XP is by looking at some of its practices
  - There are fourteen standard practices, we'll look at six important ones
    - Customer Team Member
    - User Stories
    - Pair Programming
    - Test-Driven Development
    - Collective Ownership
    - Continuous Integration

Customer Team Member

- The “customer” is made a member of the development team
  - A customer representative should be “in the same room” or at most 100 feet away from the developers
  - “Release early; Release Often” delivers a working system to the customer; in between, the customer representative provides continuous feedback to the developers

User Stories (I)

- We need to have requirements
- XP requirements come in the form of “user stories” or scenarios
  - We need just enough detail to estimate how long it might take to develop software to support this story
    - avoid too much detail, since the requirement will most likely change; start at a high level, deliver working functionality and iterate based on explicit feedback

User Stories (II)

- User stories are not documented in detail
  - we work out the scenario with the customer “face-to-face”; we give this scenario a name
    - the name is written on an index card
      - developers then write an estimate on the card based on the detail they got during their conversation with the customer
  - The index card becomes a “token” which is then used to drive the implementation of a requirement based on its priority and estimated cost
Pair Programming

- All production code is written by pairs of programmers working together at the same workstation
  - One member drives the keyboard and writes code and test cases; the second watches the code, looking for errors and possible improvements
  - The roles will switch between the two frequently
  - Pair membership changes once per day; so that each programmer works in two pairs each day
    - this facilitates distribution of knowledge about the state of the code throughout the entire team
- Studies indicate that pair programming does not impact efficiency of the team, yet it significantly reduces the defect rate!
  - [Laurie Williams, 2000] [Alistair Cockburn, 2001] [J. Nosek, 1998]

Test-Driven Development

- All production code is written in order to make failing test cases pass
  - First, we write a test case that fails since the required functionality has not yet been implemented
  - Then, we write the code that makes that test case pass
  - Iteration between writing tests and writing code is very short; on the order of minutes
- As a result, a very complete set of test cases is written for the system; not developed after the fact

Collective Ownership

- A pair has the right to check out ANY module and improve it
  - Developers are never individually responsible for a particular module or technology
- This concept is a hard one for people to adopt, you will often hear
  - “Hey, I’m a team player, but I don’t want anyone mucking with my code!”

Continuous Integration

- Developers check in code and integrate it into the larger system several times a day
  - Simple Rule: first one to check-in “wins”; everyone else merges
  - Entire system is built every day; if the final result of a system is a CD, a CD is burned every day; if the final result is a web site, they deploy the web site on a test server, etc.
- This avoids the problem of cutting integration testing to “save time and money”
Other Agile Methods

- Scrum
- Crystal
- Feature-Driven Development
- Lean Development
- Adaptive Software Development
- Dynamic Systems Development Method

- See <http://www.agilealliance.org> for more information

Scrum

- Developed by Ken Schwaber
- Definition
  - *scrum*, n. Sports. A play in Rugby in which the two sets of forwards mass together around the ball and, with their heads down, struggle to gain possession of the ball. The mass or formation of players during such a play. *Chiefly British*. A disordered or confused situation involving a number of people.

Scrum, continued

- Involves two lists
  - Product Backlog
    - essentially features of the desired system
  - Scrum Backlog
    - features for the next “scrum”
- Involves three phases
  - Pre-Scrum planning meeting
  - Scrum
  - Post-Scrum demo and debriefing
- Plan what you are going to do, do it, and then demo the current system to your clients and get ready for the next planning meeting

Crystal

- Developed by Alistair Cockburn
- Essentially a “life cycle generator”
  - You input parameters (domains) like
    - number of people on project
    - will defects in system cause loss of life
    - will defects in system cause loss of money
    - etc.
  - and out comes a life cycle tailored for your conditions
Crystal, continued

- Crystal’s notion of domains is interesting, since it addresses the problem of comparing apples to oranges that sometimes occurs when discussing different software life cycles
  - A life cycle for an 8-person web content project SHOULD be different than the life cycle of a 500-person military project

Feature Driven Development

- Developed by Jeff De Luca and Peter Coad (one of the “gang of four”)
- Simple life cycle
  - Develop an overall system model
  - Build a feature list
  - Plan by feature
  - Iterate
    - Design by feature
    - Build by feature

FDD, continued

- Has one notable success story
  - “The Singapore Project”
  - A systems integration firm had failed to produce a system to managing commercial loans for a large Singapore bank
    - After two years, they gave up, claiming the project was undoable
    - It had produced 3500 pages of use cases, an object model with hundreds of classes, thousands of attributes, but no methods and no code!

FDD, continued

- Jeff and Peter were assigned the project at that point; they applied FDD
  - They first trashed the original object model and what they called the "useless cases!"
  - A small team worked on the overall object model for about a month
  - They then worked on feature decomposition and planning for a two weeks;
  - They then implemented a small set of the features as "proof-of-concept"
  - The bank was elated; in about two months, they were being shown a system that actually did something!
    - At this point, Jeff increased his team to 50 members and they went on to deliver 2000 features over the next 15 months
- What’s Next?
  - Over the next few weeks, we will look at several of the core practices of Agile methods: design patterns, refactoring, and test-driven development