What is Refactoring

• Refactoring is the process of changing a software system such that
  – the external behavior of the system does not change
  – but the internal structure of the system is improved
• This is sometimes called
  – “Improving the design after it has been written”

(Very) Simple Example

• Consolidate Duplicate Conditional Fragments (page 243); This
  if (isSpecialDeal()) {
    total = price * 0.95;
    send();
  } else {
    total = price * 0.98;
    send();
  }
• becomes this
  if (isSpecialDeal()) {
    total = price * 0.95;
  } else {
    total = price * 0.98;
  }
  send();
Refactoring is thus Dangerous!

- Manager’s point-of-view
  - If my programmers spend time “cleaning up the code” then that’s less time implementing required functionality (and my schedule is slipping as it is!)
- To address this concern
  - Refactoring needs to be systematic, incremental, and safe

Refactoring is Useful Too

- Essentially, it is acknowledging the fact that it will be difficult to get a design right the first time
  - and as a program’s requirements change, the design may need to change
    - refactoring provides techniques for evolving the design in small incremental steps
- Benefits
  - Often code size is reduced after a refactoring
  - Confusing structures are transformed into simpler structures
    - which are easier to maintain and understand

Principles in Refactoring

- Fowler’s definition
  - Refactoring (noun)
    - a change made to the internal structure of software to make it easier to understand and cheaper to modify without changing its observable behavior
  - Refactoring (verb)
    - to restructure software by applying a series of refactorings without changing its observable behavior

A “cookbook” can be useful

- New Book
  - Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code
    - by Martin Fowler (and Kent Beck, John Brant, William Opdyke, and Don Roberts)
- Similar to the Gang of Four’s Design Patterns
  - Provides “refactoring patterns”
Principles, continued

• The purpose of refactoring is
  – to make software easier to understand and modify
• contrast this with performance optimization
  – again functionality is not changed, only internal structure; however performance optimizations often involve making code harder to understand (but faster!)
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Principles, continued

• When you systematically apply refactoring, you wear two hats
  – adding function
    • functionality is added to the system without spending any time cleaning the code
  – refactoring
    • no functionality is added, but the code is cleaned up, made easier to understand and modify, and sometimes is reduced in size
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Principles, continued

• How do you make refactoring safe?
  – First, use refactoring “patterns”
    • Fowler’s book assigns “names” to refactorings in the same way that the GoF’s book assigned names to patterns
  – Second, test constantly!
    • This ties into the extreme programming paradigm, you write tests before you write code, after you refactor code, you run the tests and make sure they all still pass
      – if a test fails, the refactoring broke something, but you know about it right away and can fix the problem before you move on

Why should you refactor?

• Refactoring improves the design of software
  – without refactoring, a design will “decay” as people make changes to a software system
• Refactoring makes software easier to understand
  – because structure is improved, duplicated code is eliminated, etc.
• Refactoring helps you find bugs
  – Refactoring promotes a deep understanding of the code at hand, and this understanding aids the programmer in finding bugs and anticipating potential bugs
• Refactoring helps you program faster
  – because a good design enables progress
When should you refactor?

- The Rule of Three
  - Three strikes and you refactor
  - refers to duplication of code
- Refactor when you add function
  - to make it easier to add the function
  - or to clean things up after the function is added
- Refactor when you need to fix a bug
- Refactor as you do a code review

Problems with Refactoring

- Databases
  - Business applications are often tightly coupled to underlying databases
    - code is easy to change; databases are not
- Changing Interfaces
  - Some refactorings require that interfaces be changed
- Design Changes that are difficult to refactor
  - This is why Extreme Programming says that software engineers need to have “courage”!

Refactoring: Where to Start?

- How do you identify code that needs to be refactored?
  - Fowler uses an olfactory analogy (attributed to Kent Beck)
  - Look for “Bad Smells” in Code
    - A very valuable chapter in Fowler’s book
    - It presents examples of “bad smells” and then suggests refactoring techniques to apply

Bad Smells in Code

- Duplicated Code
  - bad because if you modify one instance of duplicated code but not the others, you have introduced a bug!
- Long Method
  - long methods are more difficult to understand; performance concerns with respect to lots of short methods are largely obsolete
Bad Smells in Code

- Large Class
  - Large classes try to do too much, which reduces cohesion

- Long Parameter List
  - Hard to understand, can become inconsistent

- Divergent Change
  - Deals with cohesion; symptom: one type of change requires changing one subset of methods; another type of change requires changing another subset

- Shotgun Surgery
  - A change requires lots of little changes in a lot of different classes

- Feature Envy
  - A method requires lots of information from some other class (move it closer!)

- Data Clumps
  - Attributes that clump together but are not part of the same class

- Primitive Obsession
  - Characterized by a reluctance to use classes instead of primitive data types

- Switch Statements
  - Switch statements are often duplicated in code; they can typically be replaced by use of polymorphism (let OO do your selection for you!)

- Parallel Inheritance Hierarchies
  - Similar to Shotgun Surgery; each time I add a subclass to one hierarchy, I need to do it for all related hierarchies

- Lazy Class
  - A class that no longer “pays its way”
    - E.g. may be a class that was downsized by refactoring, or represented planned functionality that did not pan out

- Speculative Generality
  - “Oh I think we need the ability to do this kind of thing someday”

- Temporary Field
  - An attribute of an object is only set in certain circumstances; but an object should need all of its attributes
Bad Smells in Code

• Message Chains
  – a client asks an object for another object and then asks that object for another object etc. Bad because client depends on the structure of the navigation

• Middle Man
  – If a class is delegating more than half of its responsibilities to another class, do you really need it?

• Inappropriate Intimacy
  – Pairs of classes that know too much about each other’s private details

Bad Smells in Code

• Alternative Classes with Different Interfaces
  – Symptom: Two or more methods do the same thing but have different signature for what they do

• Incomplete Library Class
  – A framework class doesn’t do everything you need

Bad Smells in Code

• Data Class
  – These are classes that have fields, getting and setting methods for the fields, and nothing else; they are dumb data holders, but objects should be about data AND process

• Refused Bequest
  – A subclass ignores most of the functionality provided by its superclass

• Comments (!)
  – Comments are sometimes used to hide bad code

The Catalog

• The refactoring book has 72 refactoring patterns!
  – I’m only going to cover a few of the more common ones, including
    • Extract Method
    • Replace Temp with Query
    • Move Method
    • Replace Conditional with Polymorphism
    • Introduce Null Object
Extract Method

- You have a code fragment that can be grouped together
- Turn the fragment into a method whose name explains the purpose of the fragment
- Example, next slide

```java
void printOwing(double amount) {
    printBanner()
    //print details
    System.out.println("name: " + _name);
    System.out.println("amount: " + amount);
}
```

Extract Method, continued

```java
void printOwing(double amount) {
    printBanner()
    printDetails(amount)
}
void printDetails(double amount) {
    System.out.println("name: " + _name);
    System.out.println("amount: " + amount);
}
```

Replace Temp with Query

- You are using a temporary variable to hold the result of an expression
- Extract the expression into a method; Replace all references to the temp with the expression. The new method can then be used in other methods
- Example, next slide

```java
double basePrice = _quantity * _itemPrice
if (basePrice > 1000)
    return basePrice * 0.95;
else
    return basePrice * 0.98;
```

Replace Temp with Query, continued

```java
double basePrice() {
    return _quantity * _itemPrice;
}
```
Move Method

- A method is, or will be, using or used by more features of another class than the class on which it is defined
- Create a new method with a similar body in the class it uses most. Either turn the old method into a simple delegation, or remove it altogether

Replace Conditional with Polymorphism

- You have a conditional that chooses different behavior depending on the type of an object
- Move each leg of the conditional to an overriding method in a subclass. Make the original method abstract

```java
double getSpeed() {
    switch (_type) {
    case EUROPEAN:
        return getBaseSpeed();
    case AFRICAN:
        return getBaseSpeed() - getLoadFactor() * _numberOfCoconuts;
    case NORWEGIAN_BLUE:
        return (_isNailed) ? 0 :
            getBaseSpeed(_voltage);
    } throw new RuntimeException("Unreachable")
}
```
Introduce Null Object

- Repeated checks for a null value
- Replace the null value with a null object

```java
if (customer == null) {
    name = "occupant"
} else {
    name = customer.getName()
}
```

```java
public class nullCustomer {
    public String getName() {
        return "occupant";
    }
}
```

The conditional goes away entirely!!