## Exercises on reductions CSCI 6114 Fall 2023

Joshua A. Grochow

September 12, 2023

**Definition 1** (Many-one reduction). We say that A polynomial-time manyone reduces to B, sometimes called Karp reduces, denoted  $A \leq_m^p B$ , if there is a polynomial-time computable function r such that for all strings x,

$$x \in A \Leftrightarrow r(x) \in B$$

- 1. Show that  $\leq_m^p$  is transitive: if  $A \leq_m^p B$  and  $B \leq_m^p C$ , then  $A \leq_m^p C$ .
- 2. We say that a complexity class C is closed under polynomial-time many-one reductions if  $B \in C$  and  $A \leq_m^p B$  implies  $A \in C$ .
  - (a) Prove that P,NP, and coNP are closed under polynomial-time many-one reductions.
  - (b) Prove that the previous result relativizes, that is, for any oracle X,  $\mathsf{P}^X \mathsf{NP}^X$ , and  $\mathsf{coNP}^X$  are closed under polynomial-time many-one reductions.
  - (c) Conclude that for all k,  $\Sigma_k \mathsf{P}$  and  $\Pi_k \mathsf{P}$  are closed under  $\leq_m^p$ , and also that  $\mathsf{PH}$  is closed under  $\leq_m^p$ .
- 3. Show that EXP and PSPACE are closed under  $\leq_m^p$ . Show that this result relativizes.
- 4. E denotes the class of languages decidable in time  $2^{O(n)}$ , sometimes called "simply exponential time" (to distinguish it from  $2^{\text{poly}(n)}$ ).
  - (a) Show that E is a proper subset of EXP, and that the closure of EXP is the closure of E under  $\leq_m^p$  (that is, EXP is the smallest class containing E and closed under  $\leq_m^p$ ).

- (b) Show that E is closed under polynomial-time many-one reductions with linear stretch. What this means is that there is a polynomial-time many-one reduction r and a constant c such that  $|r(x)| \leq c|x|$  for all strings x.
- (c) Show that the previous two parts relativize.

**Definition 2.** We say that A polynomial-time Turing reduces to B (sometimes called Cook reduces), denoted  $A \leq_T^p B$ , if there is a polynomial-time oracle TM  $M^{\Box}$  such that A is correctly decided by  $M^B$ .

- 3. (a) Show that  $\leq_T^p$  is transitive.
  - (b) Show that for any oracle X, we have  $\mathsf{P}^{(\mathsf{P}^X)} = \mathsf{P}^X$ . (Realize that this is the same question as part (a).)
- 4. Prove that  $\mathsf{P}$  is closed under  $\leq_T^p$ .
- 5. Is NP closed under  $\leq_T^p$ ? What happens (complexity-class-wise) if it is? What about  $\Sigma_k P$ ?
- 6. Prove that PH is closed under  $\leq_T^p$ .
- 7. Prove that EXP and PSPACE are closed under  $\leq_T^p$ .
- 8. Prove that if L is complete for a complexity class C under  $\leq_T^p$  reductions, then  $\mathsf{P}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathsf{P}^L$ . Conclude that  $\mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{NP}} = \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{coNP}} = \mathsf{P}^{SAT}$ .

**Definition 3.** We say that A polynomial-time truth-table reduces to B denoted  $A \leq_{tt}^{p} B$  if there are polynomial-time functions q and V such that

- q(x) outputs a tuple of strings  $q(x) = (q_1, \ldots, q_k)$  ("q" for "queries")
- $x \in A$  if and only if  $V(x, B(q_1), \ldots, B(q_k)) = 1$ .

Note that the number of queries k can depend on x.

We say that A polynomial-time k-truth-table reduces to B, denoted  $A \leq_{k-tt}^{p} B$ , if  $A \leq_{tt}^{p} B$  and the number of queries made in the reduction is at most k. (In particular,  $\leq_{tt}^{p}$  is the same as  $\leq_{poly-tt}^{p}$ .)

- 9. (a) Prove that  $\leq_{tt}^{p}$  is transitive.
  - (b) Prove that  $\leq_{1-tt}^{p}$  is transitive.
  - (c) Prove that  $A \leq_{k-tt}^{p} B \leq_{\ell-tt}^{p} C$  implies  $A \leq_{k\ell-tt}^{p} C$ .

10. Prove that

$$A \leq_m^p B \Rightarrow A \leq_{1-tt}^p B \Rightarrow A \leq_{2-tt}^p B \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow A \leq_{tt}^p B \Rightarrow A \leq_T^p B.$$

- 11. Prove that P, EXP, and PSPACE are closed under  $\leq_{k-tt}^{p}$  and  $\leq_{tt}^{p}$ .
- 12. Is NP closed under  $\leq_{1-tt}^{p}$ ? What happens if it is?
- 13. Prove that PH is closed under  $\leq_{tt}^{p}$ .